

SCIENCE POPULARIZATION IN A POLARIZED SOCIETY:



HOW SCIENTISTS IN THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE COMMUNICATE SCIENCE AND DEAL WITH NEGATIVE FEEDBACK

BACKGROUND

Online hostility against scientists and science popularizers increases, particularly among those who belong to marginalized groups (Houlden et al., 2022; Veletsianos et al., 2018) or work on controversial topics like climate change (Branford et al., 2019; Nogrady, 2021).



Online hostility may result in feelings of anger, fear, and decreased well-being (Wachs et al., 2022) but also has wider implications for the scientific community. Online hostility against scientists is potentially undermining the scientific endeavor for truth (Branford et al., 2019) and has the potential to silence certain scholars or topics (Celuch et al., 2022; Nölleke et al., 2023).



13 interviews (6 female, 7 male, 29-65 years, 6 x Prof, 3 x Dr.), interviewees were recruited via professional and activist networks, qualitative content analysis



WHAT MOTIVATES

Personal climate activism serves as the starting point for science communication, as it is never an official part of their scientific work. They aim at providing a scientific, fact-based counterbalance to distortions in public discourse (caused by politics, economy, media, populists) and to build expertise to generate trust in science communication.

"Active science communication (...) [happens] outside my paid employment. It's all volunteer fun."

"[I want to] correct things that have been shortened and thus distorted by the media" (13, m)

WHAT REACTIONS DO THEY GET?

In direct contact, feedback is predominantly positive, sometimes researchers encoun-

ter intolerance. Researchers communicating through social media (mass media also to a lesser extent) get more hate speech and harassment.

Particularly women report facing sexist attacks and having their scientific expertise questioned.

"You are a woman.
You have no idea. (...)
where then a reference
is made between my
knowledge and my
sex." (12, f)

"Insulting, defamatory, personal attacks (...) that happens on a daily basis" (I11, m)

HOW DO NEGATIVE REACTIONS AFFECT WELLBEING AND WORK?

Negative reactions consume a significant amount of cognitive and emotional resources, as well as time. Researchers have to personally manage these reactions (e.g., block, comment). Institutional support is very rare and this adds to the strain from hate speech and harassment.

"In case of personal attacks, the university does not feel responsible, I have to deal with it myself." (14, m) "You are mentally out of everyday life [...] then you doubt yourself [...] That has an influence on your self-confidence and on how you work and research." (I2, f)

HOW DO SCIENTISTS HANDLE NEGATIVE FEEDBACK?

Saving resources. Gathering external support from colleagues or funding for research assistants helps many researchers. Without external support, ignoring and temporary pausing of activities are reported. Allowing less dialogue and avoiding specific social networks were also common strategies. Many scientists report avoiding social media as a whole.



"You don't look at all that anymore, in the end, there's no point in dealing with it." (14, m)

"If I have a student assistant, I ask them to read the comments and forward me interesting questions. I try to protect myself." (I1, f)